

















PERSPECTIVE ARTICLE

What's in a Name? “ESCA” or “XPS”? A Discussion of Comments Made by Kai Siegbahn More Than Four Decades Ago Regarding the Name of the Technique

Joshua W. Pinder¹  | Braxton Kulbacki¹ | Donald R. Baer²  | Mark Biesinger³  | James Castle⁴ | David G. Castner^{5,6}  | Christopher D. Easton⁷  | John T. Grant⁸  | Grzegorz Greczynski⁹ | Sarah L. Harmer¹⁰  | Anthony E. Hughes^{11,12}  | Mark Isaacs^{13,14}  | László Kövér¹⁵ | George H. Major¹⁶ | David J. Morgan^{14,17}  | Cedric J. Powell¹⁸  | Peter M. A. Sherwood¹⁹  | William M. Skinner^{20,21}  | Kara Stowers¹  | Jeff Terry²²  | Matthew R. Linford¹ 

¹Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Brigham Young University, Provo, Utah, USA | ²Physical and Computational Sciences Directorate, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, Washington, USA | ³Surface Science Western, University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario, Canada | ⁴The Surface Analysis Laboratory, School of Mechanical Engineering Sciences, University of Surrey, Guildford, UK | ⁵Department of Bioengineering, National ESCA and Surface Analysis Center for Biomedical Problems, Seattle, Washington, USA | ⁶Department of Chemical Engineering, National ESCA and Surface Analysis Center for Biomedical Problems, Seattle, Washington, USA | ⁷Ian Wark Laboratories, Manufacturing, CSIRO, Clayton, Victoria, Australia | ⁸Surface Analysis Consultant, Clearwater, Florida, USA | ⁹Thin Film Physics Division, Department of Physics, Chemistry, and Biology (IFM), Linköping University, Linköping, Sweden | ¹⁰Flinders Microscopy and Microanalysis, College of Science and Engineering, Flinders University, Bedford Park, South Australia, Australia | ¹¹Institute for Frontier Materials, Deakin University, Geelong, Australia | ¹²Minerals Resources, CSIRO, Clayton, Victoria, Australia | ¹³Department of Chemistry, University College London, London, UK | ¹⁴HarwellXPS, Research Complex at Harwell, Didcot, UK | ¹⁵HUN-REN Institute for Nuclear Research, Debrecen, Hungary | ¹⁶Texas Instruments, Lehi, Utah, USA | ¹⁷Cardiff Catalysis Institute, Translational Research Hub, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK | ¹⁸Associate, Materials Measurement Science Division, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, Maryland, USA | ¹⁹Department of Chemistry, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, USA | ²⁰Future Industries Institute, University of South Australia, Adelaide, South Australia, Australia | ²¹ARC Centre of Excellence for Enabling Eco-Efficient Beneficiation of Minerals, University of South Australia, Mawson Lakes Campus, Adelaide, South Australia, Australia | ²²Department of Physics, Department of Mechanical, Materials, and Aerospace Engineering, Center for Synchrotron Radiation Research and Instrumentation, Illinois Institute of Technology, Chicago, Illinois, USA

Correspondence: Matthew R. Linford (mrlinford@chem.byu.edu)

Received: 28 January 2025 | **Revised:** 28 January 2025 | **Accepted:** 17 February 2025

ABSTRACT

In an interview in 1982, which was 1 year after he shared the Nobel Prize, Kai Siegbahn was asked about his opinion regarding the name of the technique he had developed. Siegbahn had named it “electron spectroscopy for chemical analysis” (ESCA), but the community was choosing to call it “X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy” (XPS). Now, more than 40 years later, 20 XPS experts have given their opinions on Siegbahn’s response and the name of the technique. Some of these participants have been doing XPS for many years and have provided a historical perspective on this issue. While there is no call in these comments for the community to return to “ESCA”—“XPS” is regarded as a more than an adequate name, and insisting on a name change at this point in time would probably only create confusion. However, some of the participants of this study still consider “ESCA” to be an acceptable way to refer to the technique, especially when it is used in a chemical context.